

JUDICIAL COMMITTEE  
BOX 387, MAREEBA  
4880. PH. 923487

TO: JUDICIAL COMMITTEE  
BEENLEIGH EAST CONG.

APRIL 6, 1991

DEAR BROTHERS,

RE :REPLY TO LETTER INCLUDING ALSO PHONE CONVERSATION WITH BR. MONTY  
BY MEANS OF THIS LETTER WE WOULD LIKE TO CONVEY SOME OF OUR THOUGHTS AS A  
COMMITTEE WITH REGARDS TO BCH AND HIS REINSTATEMENT PLEA.

SINCE WE RECIEVED YOUR LETTER WE HAVE ALLOWED TIME TO ELAPSE AND IN THAT TIME WE  
HAVE ALSO HAD A DISCUSION WITH BCI (EX. WIFE)  
FROM THAT DISCUSSION WE LEARNT THAT FROM BCI S POINT OF VIEW HE DOES NOT SHOW A REAL  
CONCERN FOR THE WELFARE OF HIS CHILDREN AS A FATHER SHOULD CONSIDERING THE REAL HURT  
CAUSED TO THE FAMILY. BCI DOES NOT STOP BCH FROM TALKING TO THE CHILDREN , SHE HAS  
ENCOURAGED THEM TO WRITE WHEN BCH REQUESTED THIS.HE HAS BROUGHT UP IN SOME OF HIS  
TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS WITH BCI THAT HE BAMES HER FOR NOT BEING REINSTATED YET.  
HE BRINGS UP THE POINT THAT BCI COMMITTED SEVERAL ACTS OF ADULTERY IN THE PAST THAT  
HE DID NOT KNOW ABOUT. FROM THE BEGINNING WHEN THIS CAME UP TO THE COMMITTEE HE  
STUCK TO THAT STORY. FROM OUR DISCUSSION WITH BCI ON THIS IT SEEMS THAT WHEN BCI  
COMMITTED THE ADULTRY IT WAS ABOUT 3 TIMES WITH THE SAME PERSON WHICH SHE CLASSSED  
AS ONE ACT OF ADULTRY. BCH FEELS THAT SHE ONLY TOLD HIM ABOUT ONE ACT . PERHAPS,  
PERHAPSTHERE MAY BE SOME MISUNDERSTANDING THERE BUT WE MUST REMEMBER THAT SINCE THEN  
BCI HAD BEEN A FAITHFUL WIFE , AND WAS BAPTISED THEN AFTER THIS. (16 years)

IF BCH FEELS HE HAS PROOF OF MORE ACTS OF ADULTERY HE NEEDS TO PRESENT  
THAT PROOF. THE ORIGINAL COMMITTEE PLUS THE APPEALS COMMITTEE SAID THAT AT THAT TIME  
THERE WAS NO REASON FOR HIM NOR GROUNDS FOR HIM TO OBTAIN A SCRIPTURAL DIVORCE  
BUT HE WENT AHEAD WITH THIS COURSE AND THAT DIVORCE HAS BEEN LEGALLY TERMINATED  
IN ABOUT OCTOBER, 1990. IF WHAT BCH SAYS OR FEELS THAT BCI ONLY OWNED UP TO ONE ACT  
OR ONE TIME SLEEPING WITH THE OTHER PERSON BUT NOW BCI SAYING THAT IT WAS PERHAPS  
3 TIMES THEN PERHAPS THERE WAS A TECHNICALITY THERE. YET WE REMEMBER THAT  
BCI AS MENTIONED BEFORE HAD BEEN FAITHFUL AND HAD SINCE BEEN BAPTISED.

IT ONLY SEEMS THAT WHEN BCH AND REDAC WERE GETTING TOGETHER THAT EACH STARTED TO LOOK  
AT DIVORCING EACH ONES MATE. (BOTH NOW HAVE)

FROM THE COMMITTEES POINT OF VIEW BCI HAD GROUNDS TO DIVORCE BCH FOR THE GROSS WRONGS  
THAT HE COMMITTED. SHE STILL WANTED HIM BACK WHEN THESE MATTERS OCCURED.

A QUESTION THAT COULD BE POSED TO BCH IS WHY DID HE GO AHEAD WITH DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS  
AGAINST WHAT THE COMMITTEES HAD SAID ? AT THE BEGINNING BCH SHOWED AN UTTER DISREGARD  
FOR THEOCRATIC DIRECTION DOES THIS PERHAPS SHOW THAT HE STILL WANTS THINGS TO GO HIS  
WAY .

(2)

WHEN WE THINK OF WORKS THAT BEFIT REPENTANCE AS FAR AS [BCH] IS CONCERNED HE MAY HAVE STOPPED WHAT HE DID IN THE PAST AND HE MAY BE DOING ALL THE RIGHT THINGS AS FAR AS OUTWARD APPEARANCES ARE CONCERNED AND HE MIGHT SAY ALL THE RIGHT THINGS BUT WE ARE VERY SCEPTICAL ABOUT WHETHER HE IS MAKING THINGS RIGHT WITH HIS FAMILY FOR WHAT HE DID FOR A PERSON WHO SAYS HE IS CUT TO THE HEART FOR THE WRONG DONE IS HE GOING TO THE EXTENT THAT HE SHOULD TO MAKE THINGS RIGHT THERE . AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME WE ON WHAT [BCI] HAS SAID DON'T THINK SO.

YOUR POINT NO. 1 YOU DO NOT HAVE EVIDENCE THAT [BCH] [REDACTED] ARE PURPOSE FULLY MAKING CONTACT.

YOU MENTIONED A FEW TIMES THAT THEY MAY STILL BE KEEPING EYE CONTACT. TALKING TO BR. BERT HATTON HE MENTIONED THAT [BCH] MAY BE WORKING VERY CLOSE BY. BECAUSE THEY ARE IN THE LIMELIGHT EVERY MOVE THEY MAKE IS WATCHED AND WE MAY CONSTRUCT IT TO MEAN THAT THEY ARE KEEPING THAT CONTACT. NEVER THE LESS BECAUSE OF THE WAY THINGS HAVE GONE OVER THE TIME SINCE THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS WE FEEL CAUTION IS THE WISE COURSE IT WOULD BE GOOD IF WE COULD FIND OUT IF THEY REALLY HAVE INTENTIONS OF STILL GETTING TOGETHER. WHAT IS OF GRAVE CONCERN TO US IS THAT THEY MAY STILL BE SCHEMING TO GET MARRIED AND BE IN THE TRUTH. SO FAR BOTH ARE DIVORCED , BOTH WANTED EACH OTHER , ONLY WAITING FOR [BCH] TO BE REINSTATED. COULD THIS BE THE CASE? , THIS IS IN OUR MINDS.

PHONE CONVERSATION BR. MONTY BAKER ( THIS HAS ALSO BEEN CONVEYED TO BODY OF ELDERS LOGAN HOME WEST C/- BR. BERT HATTON )

JUST RECENTLY THE SON OF [BCH] WHO HAS SINCE BEEN BAPTISED CAME UP TO THE ELDERS BECAUSE THERE WAS A MATTER THAT WAS TROUBLING HIS CONSCIENCE. HE FELT THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT WE NEEDED TO KNOW THAT HE WAS NOT ABLE TO SAY WHEN THE MATTER WAS BEING HANDLED BECAUSE HIS FATHER WAS ALWAYS THERE LISTENING TO WHAT WAS BEING SAID . THE SITUATION WAS STRAINED.

THIS IS WHAT HE RELATED:- WHEN THE TWO PARTIES LEFT FROM HERE TO MACKAY THEY WERE CAMPED IN A TENT . [BCH] [REDACTED] SLEPT IN THE TENT FOR THE NIGHT.

[BCH] AND [REDACTED] WERE TOGETHER ON ONE SIDE AND [REDACTED] SEPARATED BY BAGGAGE WAS ON THE OTHER [REDACTED] SAYS THAT AS FAR AS HE KNOWS NO IMMORALITY TOOK PLACE.

WE ONLY HAVE [REDACTED] WORD ON THIS , BUT WE HAVE NO REASON TO DISBELIEVE HIM.

DURING THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS WE WERE ALL LED TO BELIEVE THAT THEY WERE IN SEPARATE ACCOMMODATION NOT TOGETHER AS [REDACTED] NOW SAYS.

IT WOULD BE INTERESTING WHAT [BCH] HAS TO SAY ABOUT THIS

DURING OUR COMMITTEE MEETINGS DETAILS HAD TO BE DRAGGED OUT OF [REDACTED] AND [BCH] NOTHING CAME EASY, WE FELT THAT THERE WAS A LOT OF DECIET . THE REASON FOR OUR CAUTION.



3

AS OUR MAG. ON MERCY BROUGHT OUT WE WANT TO SEE ONES COME BACK, AND SPECIAL PROVISIONS ARE MADE TO CONTACT THESE ONES. THE POINT THOUGH WAS STILL, ARE THEY REPENTANT? BRS WITH [BCH] HE SEEMS TO BE WANTING AS THE MAIN POINT OF HIS REINSTATEMENT TO BE THE FACT THAT HE WANTS HIS DIVORCE TO BE RECOGNIZED AS A SCRIPTURAL DIVORCE

[BCH] TALKS ABOUT REPEATED ACTS OF ADULTRY. TO CLARIFY EXACTLY WHAT HE MEANS DOES HE MEAN REPEATED ACTS WITH DIFFERENT PARTNERS OR DOES HE MEAN REPEATED ACTS WITH ONE PARTNER? ([BCI] ADMITS THOSE 3 TIMES WITH ONE PERSON AS MENTIONED BEFORE )

THE MAIN AREA THAT WE LOOK AT ARE HIS WORKS THAT BEFIT REPENTANCE. AS ONE WHO CLAIMS TO BE LIKE THE TAX COLLECTOR IN JESUS ILLUSTRATION IS HE CONCERNED WITH THE WELFARE OF HIS FAMILY . THIS SHOULD BE HIS CONCERN YET HE DISTANCES HIMSELF SO FAR AWAY., BOTH IN ACTUAL DISTANCE AND IN PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL CONCERN FOR THE CHILDREN . HE SEEMS NOT TO WANT TO HAVE THAT RESPONSIBILITY. IS THAT THE WAY THAT HE FEELS ? AS YET WE DON'T SEE EVIDENCE OTHERWISE. EVEN WITH CHILD MAINTENANCE NOT MUCH HAS BEEN FORTH COMING AS FAR AS [BCI] IS CONCERNED.

BRS WE HOPE THAT THE INFORMATION WITHIN THIS LETTER WILL GIVE YOU BRS A LITTLE MORE TO CLARIFY AND A BIT MORE OF A BASIS TO LOOK AT [BCH] REINSTATEMENT PLEA


MAY JEHOVAH CONTINUE TO BLESS YOU IN YOUR CARING FOR THE BROTHERS. AND MAY HE CONTINUE TO GIVE YOU THE WISDOM TO DISCERN THE REAL SITUATION WITH [BCH] WE LIVE IN EXCITING TIMES, AND WE ARE PART OF A WONDER FULL ORGANIZATION WHERE THE MAJORITY OF JEHOVAHS PEOPLE ARE REMAINING FAITHFUL TO HIS PRINCIPLES.

YOUR FELLOW WORKERS IN THE TRUTH

ROOY DE ROOY (CHAIRMAN)

DINO ALI

ZINI ALI





P.S. If there is anything else you would like to know we are happy to help.